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Defining Software Architecture

- A common definition ...
  - *The software architecture of a program or computing system is the structure or structures of the system, which comprise software elements the externally visible qualities of those elements, and the relationships among them*
    - Len Bass, Paul Clements and Rick Kazman (SEI)
      Software Architecture in Practice, 2nd Edition

Defining Software Architecture

- An alternative definition ...
  - *The set of system design decisions that dictate the fundamental structure and properties of a system*

    Thus, the set of decisions that will cause the system to fail if made incorrectly
Role of Software Architecture

*Crucial bridge between requirements and design*

- Requirements
- Architecture
- Design

Architecture & Requirements

- Requirements are an input to architecture
  - Requirements frame the architectural problem
  - Stakeholder needs and desires

- Architecture must influence requirements
  - “The art of the possible”
  - Stakeholder understanding of risk/cost
  - Stakeholder understanding of possibilities
Architecture & Design

- Architecture frames design
  - architecture is part of the design process
- Captures the system-wide decisions
  - what has to be consistent or constant
- Importance of role increases with scale
- Perfectly compatible with agile
  - even XP with the right approach

Just Design, Surely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th>Intentional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Extensional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Architecture, Design, Implementation”, Amnon Eden And Rick Kazman, ICSE 2003

Intentional: infinitely many possible ways of satisfying the statement (i.e. constraint rather than instruction)
Local: satisfied in design “d” => satisfied in all possible extensions of “d”
Quality Properties

- Non-functional characteristics ("-illities")
  - Performance, Security, Availability, ...
- Often crucial to stakeholders
  - Slow functions don't get used
  - Unavailable systems stop the business
  - Security problems cause headlines
- Yet often an after-thought

Quality Properties

- Addressing quality properties is a key architectural task
  - Understanding real stakeholder needs
  - Understanding what is possible
  - Making the key trade-offs to allow delivery
  - Avoiding expensive "retro-fit"
Stakeholders

- Identifying Stakeholders
  - People, Groups, Entities
  - Those who have an interest in or concerns about the realisation of the architecture

- Importance of Stakeholders
  - Architectures are built for stakeholders
  - Decisions must reflect stakeholder needs
  - Involving a wide stakeholder community increases your chances of success

Talking Point: Stakeholders

- Who cares whether systems you’re creating get built?
- Why do they care?
- Are they positively or negatively impacted?

Draw up a short list of the stakeholders for your system(s)
Stakeholders

Attributes of a good stakeholder
- *Informed*, to allow them to make good decisions
- *Committed*, to the process and willing to make themselves available in a constructive manner, even if decisions are hard
- *Authorised*, to make decisions
- *Representative*, of their stakeholder group so that they present its views validly

Stakeholder Groups

- *Acquirers* pay for the system
- *Assessors* check for compliance
- *Communicators* create documents and training
- *Developers* create it
- *Maintainers* evolve and fix it
- *Suppliers* provide parts of the system
- *Support Staff* help people to use the system
- *System Administrators*, keep it running
- *Testers* verify that it works
- *Users* have to use the system directly
The Challenge

- **Essential difficulties**
  - Multi-dimensional problem
  - Highly complex mix of people and technology
  - Diverse stakeholder community to serve
  - Making trade-offs is essential but hard
  - Often no “right” answer

- **Accidental difficulties**
  - Little standardisation in description
  - Difficult to compare and discuss alternatives
  - Little standardisation in architectural activities
  - Little sharing of proven practice and known problems and their solutions
  - No framework for handling quality properties
The Challenge

- To help meet the challenge
  - Organise the architectural design process
    - roles & activities, relationship to requirements & design
  - Define the use of architecture artefacts
    - which models? when? why?
  - Capture, classify and share knowledge
    - best practice, problems and pitfalls, proven solutions

Architectural Viewpoints

- Help to deal with architectural structure
  - Decompose arch. description into views
    - each view addresses one aspect of the system
    - functional view, deployment view, ...
  - Guide development of views via viewpoints
    - viewpoint contains proven practice, pitfalls, ...
    - each view defined by one viewpoint
  - Organises the process and the artefacts
Architectural Viewpoints

- Well understood, widely applied
  - RUP/Kruchten “4+1” set (1995)
  - Siemens set (1999)
  - Rozanski & Woods set (2005)
  - Conceptual basis in IEEE 1471 (2000)

Viewpoints and Views

- IEEE 1471 provides standard definitions
  - A viewpoint is a collection of patterns, templates and conventions for constructing one type of view. It defines the stakeholders whose concerns are reflected in the viewpoint, and guidelines and principles and template models for constructing its views.
  - A view is a representation of all or part of an architecture, from the perspective of one or more concerns which are held by one or more of its stakeholders.
**Viewpoints and Views**

![Diagram of Viewpoints and Views]

**Example Viewpoint Set**

- Functional Viewpoint
- Information Viewpoint
- Concurrency Viewpoint
- Development Viewpoint
- Deployment Viewpoint
- Operational Viewpoint

[Rozanski & Woods, 2005]
Example Viewpoint Set

- **Core architectural structures**
  - Functional
    - elements, connectors, interfaces, responsibilities, interactions
  - Information
    - entities, constraints, relationships, timeliness, usage, ownership
  - Concurrency
    - processes, threads, coordination, element to process mapping

Example Viewpoint Set

- **Working with developers**
  - Development
    - layers, module structure, standard design, codeline

- **Moving towards deployment**
  - Deployment
    - hardware, network, software dependencies, process to node mapping
  - Operational
    - installation, migration, administration, support
Example Viewpoint Set

- Rozanski/Woods Viewpoint Set
  - Aimed at large scale information systems
  - Extension and refinement of Philippe Kruchten’s “4+1” set
    - renamed “Logical”, “Process” and “Physical”
    - added “Information” and “Operational”
  - Standard content for viewpoints
    - applicability, concerns, models, stakeholders, problems & pitfalls, solutions, checklists

Functional Viewpoint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>functional structure of the system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>design of runtime functional elements and their responsibilities, interfaces, and primary interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerns</td>
<td>functional capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>external interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>internal structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>design qualities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models</td>
<td>functional structure model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitfalls</td>
<td>poorly defined interfaces / responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infrastructure modelled as functional elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overloaded view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>just drawing pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Functional View Fragment

Information Viewpoint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>information structure, ownership and processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>design of storage, manipulation, management, and distribution of information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Concerns      | • information structure, content and flow  
• data ownership and quality  
• timeliness, latency, and age  
• ... |
| Models        | • static data and metadata structure models  
• information flow models  
• information lifecycle models  
• data ownership and access models  
• volumetric models |
| Pitfalls      | • data incompatibilities  
• poor data quality  
• unavoidable multiple updaters  
• key matching deficiencies  
• ... |
Information View Fragments

Information View Fragments (ii)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Deduction</th>
<th>Derived Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistics Accessor</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C,R,U,D</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics Calculator</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C,U,D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Loader</td>
<td>C,U,D</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph with nodes and edges showing relationships between created, published, approved, challenged, and deduced states.](image1)

![Bar chart showing data for years 2005-01 to 2005-04.](image2)
Concurrency Viewpoint

Focus: packaging elements into processes and threads

Content:
- the concurrency structure, mapping functional elements to concurrency units to clearly identify the parts of the system that can execute concurrently, and how this is coordinated and controlled

Concerns:
- task structure and mapping of functional elements to tasks
- inter-process communication & re-entrancy
- state management
- synchronization and integrity
- task startup, shutdown and recovery from failure

Models:
- system-level concurrency model
- system-level state model

Pitfalls:
- modelling of the wrong concurrency
- excessive complexity
- resource contention
- deadlock and race conditions
### Development Viewpoint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>architectural constraints on the software development process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>architectural design that supports and constrains the software development process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitfalls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- module organization
- codeline organization
- common processing
- standardization of design and testing
- instrumentation
- module structure models
- common design models
- codeline models
- too much detail
- overburdening the architectural description
- uneven focus or lack of developer focus
- lack of precision
- problems with the specified environment

### Development View Fragment

- Domain
  - Stanford Library
  - Java Numerical Toolkit
- Utility
  - Apache Axis
  - Hibernate 2.1
- Platforms
  - Java 1.4 Library
  - Oracle JDBC Driver 8.0
  - Servlet 2.2 API

Components showing modules in a layer
Dependencies capturing allowable dependencies between modules in layers
Packages describing layering constraints
Dependencies capturing allowable relaxation of the layering
Deployment Viewpoint

Focus
runtime environment structure and the distribution of software across it

Content
design of the environment into which the system will be deployed, including the system’s runtime dependencies

Concerns
- types, specification and quantity of hardware required
- third-party software requirements
- technology compatibility
- network requirements and capacity
- physical constraints

Models
- runtime platform models
- network models
- technology dependency models

Pitfalls
- unclear or inaccurate dependencies
- unproven technology
- lack of specialist technical knowledge
- late consideration of the deployment environment

Deployment View Fragment

UML nodes showing hardware devices
Packages show logical hardware groups
Relationships show required inter-node links
Tagged values record hardware requirements
Deployment View Fragment (ii)

| Client PC                  | Windows XP SP1  
|| Java JRE 1.4.2_06 or later  
|| Internet Explorer 6.0 SP1   |
| Primary Server             | Windows 2003 server, w/sec patches  
|| Java SDK 1.4.2_06 or later  
|| Apache Tomcat 5.5.9 or later |
| Database Server            | Solaris 9.0 w/Aug05 patch cluster  
|| Oracle 9.2.0.2 Std Edition  
|| 10GB buffer cache, auto sized SGA  
|| auto storage management, 2 table spaces  
|| OEM 9.2.0.2 installed and working |

Operational Viewpoint

| Focus                      | system installation, migration, operation & support |
| Content                    | defines strategies for how the system will be operated, administered, and supported when in its production environment |
| Concerns                   | installation, upgrade and migration  
|| operational monitoring, control and configuration management  
|| performance monitoring  
|| support responsibilities and procedures  
|| backup and restore |
| Models                     | installation and migration models  
|| configuration management models  
|| administration, support and escalation models |
| Pitfalls                   | lack of engagement with the operational staff  
|| lack of migration and backout planning  
|| insufficient migration window  
|| missing management tools  
|| ... |
Operational View Content

- Installation Model
  - Installation groups
  - Dependencies and constraints
  - Backout strategy

- Operational CM Model
  - Configuration groups and dependencies
  - Configuration parameter sets
  - Operational control (switching between sets)

Operational View Content (ii)

- Administration Model
  - Monitoring and control facilities required and provided
  - Required routine operational procedures
  - Required operational action in case of error conditions
Talking Point: Views

- Which views would be useful for your systems?
- Who would be interested in each view?
- Which views wouldn’t be useful – why?

Draw up a list of which views you would use and who would be interested in each. Can you sketch fragments of one or two?

Viewpoints and Views Recap

- **Viewpoints**
  - A store of knowledge and experience
  - A guide to the architect
  - Templates to guide the process

- **Views**
  - A structure for description
  - A separation of concerns
  - Aid to stakeholder communication
30 minutes

Limitations of Viewpoints

- Quality properties are critical
  - existing viewpoint sets don’t explicitly consider quality properties
- Quality properties usually need cross-view consideration
  - viewpoints are relatively independent
- Viewpoint focus may lead to late consideration of quality properties
  - qualities are often expensive to add later
Talking Point: Qualities

- Which quality properties are crucial to your systems?
- Which views are going to be impacted by considering each such quality property?

Create a matrix showing which qualities are likely to impact which of your views.

Handling Quality Properties

- A new concept could help
  - Allowing cross-view focus
  - Being quality rather than structure oriented
  - Providing similar organisation and guidance to a viewpoint but for a quality property
  - That can be used in tandem with viewpoints
- We call this new concept a “perspective”
  - or “architectural perspective” in full
Architectural Perspectives

An architectural perspective is a collection of activities, checklists, tactics and guidelines to guide the process of ensuring that a system exhibits a particular set of closely related quality properties that require consideration across a number of the system’s architectural views.

Rozanski and Woods, 2005

Architectural Perspectives

- A guide for dealing with quality properties
  - Guide the architect in achieving the required quality properties
  - Suggest changes to the existing views
  - Avoid possible redundancy between quality and structural views
- A new concept to use with viewpoints
  - Related to and extends SEI tactics work
  - Adds more context and advice to tactics
Adding Perspectives

Architectural Perspectives

- A simple but effective idea
  - A store of knowledge and experience
  - A guide to the architect
  - Templates to guide the process
- Analogous to viewpoints but for quality properties, rather than structures
- Perspectives “applied” to views to assess qualities and guide changes needed
Architectural Perspectives

- Our initial core set for information systems
  - Performance and Scalability
  - Security
  - Availability and Resilience
  - Evolution
  - Also: Location, I18N, Usability, Regulation, ...

- Different sets in different domains
Performance and Scalability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>ability of the system to predictably execute within its mandated performance profile and to handle increased processing volumes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Concerns | • processing volume  
          • response time  
          • responsiveness  
          • throughput  
          • predictability |
| Tactics | • optimize repeated processing  
          • reduce contention via replication  
          • prioritize processing  
          • consolidate related workloads  
          • … |
| Pitfalls | • imprecise goals  
          • unrealistic models or use of simple measures for complex cases  
          • inappropriate partitioning  
          • … |

P & S Perspective Activities

1. Capture Performance Requirements
2. Create Performance Models
3A. Analyze Performance Model
3B. Practical Testing
5. Rework Architecture
4. Assess Against Requirements

[acceptable]
## Security

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>ability of the system to reliably control, monitor, and audit who can operate on resources and to detect and recover from breaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Concerns | • policies  
• threats  
• mechanisms  
• accountability  
• availability  
• detection and recovery |
| Tactics | • apply recognised security principles  
• authenticate the principles  
• authorise access  
• … |
| Pitfalls | • no clear requirements or models  
• complex security policies  
• unproven or ad-hoc security technologies  
• … |

### Security Perspective Activities

1. Identify Sensitive Resources
2. Define Security Policy
3. Identify Threats to the System
4. Design Security Implementation
5. Assess Security Risks

[unacceptable]

[acceptable]
Availability and Resilience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>ability of the system to be fully or partly operational as and when required and to effectively handle failures that affect availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Concerns | • classes of service  
• planned / unplanned downtime  
• mean time between failures & mean time to repair  
• disaster recovery  
• redundancy, clustering, failover |
| Tactics | • MTBF and MTTR prediction  
• availability schedules and models  
• application of high availability technology  
• … |
| Pitfalls | • single point of failure  
• overambitious availability requirements  
• ineffective error detection  
• overlooked global availability requirements  
• incompatible technologies |

A & R Perspective Activities

1. Capture Availability Requirements  
2. Produce Availability Schedule  
3A. Estimate Platform Availability  
3B. Estimate Functional Availability  
4. Assess Against Requirements  
5. Rework Architecture

[ finished ]  
[ not finished ]
### Evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>ability of the system to be flexible in the face of change, balanced against the cost of providing that flexibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concerns</td>
<td>prioritization of the wrong dimensions, changes that never happen, impact of evolution on critical quality properties, lost development environments, ad hoc release management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactics</td>
<td>design for change, architectural assessment, configuration management, automated testing, build and release management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitfalls</td>
<td>flexibility, extensibility, functional, deployment and integration evolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Evolution Perspective Activities

1. Characterise Evolution Needs
2. Assess Current Ease of Evolution
3. Consider Evolution Tradeoffs
4. Rework Architecture
Other Perspectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Can the system be used by people with disabilities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Resource</td>
<td>Can the system be built within people, time and budget constraints?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalisation</td>
<td>Is the system independent of language, country and culture?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Will the system work, given its required geographical constraints?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>Does the system meet any required regulatory constraints?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td>Can people use the system effectively?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Talking Point: Perspectives

- Going back to your qualities/views matrix
- Which perspectives would help you to achieve your quality properties?
  - Are they in the primary or secondary sets?
  - Useful feedback for us if in secondary
- Where may you have conflicts between advice in different relevant perspectives?
**Example Application**

- Simple example of viewpoints and perspectives
- Used throughout the tutorial materials
- Statistics storage and processing system
  - Data loaded into the database
  - Derived measures calculated automatically
  - Statisticians view and report on the data
  - Deductions recorded and reviewed manually

---

**Information View**

[Diagram showing relationships between Deduction, DerivedMeasure, StackSet, Variable, Observation, created, published, approved, challenged, and obsolete.]
Functional View

* element interface and dependent elements using it
* tagged values used to indicate interface characteristics if needed

Concurrency View

* stereotype used to indicate external entity
Development View

Domain

- StatData Library
- Java Numerical Toolkit

Utility

- Apache Axis
- Hibernate 2.1

Platform

- Java 1.4 Library
- Oracle JDBC Driver 9.0
- Servlet 2.2 API

Deployment View

Data Centre Resident

- Client PC
  - (memory=500MB, CPU=1.6GHz)
  - <<process>> Stats_Client

Primary Server

- Stats_Server
- Calculator

Database Server

- DBMS_Process_Grp
- Loader

Disk Array

- (model=StorEdge3510FC, capacity=500GB)
- (model=SunFireV440, memory=16GB, CPU>=2x1.8GHz, IO=FiberChannel)
## Deployment View (ii)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client PC</th>
<th>Primary Server</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windows XP SP1</td>
<td>Windows 2003 server, w/sec patches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java JRE 1.4.2_06 or later</td>
<td>Java SDK 1.4.2_06 or later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Explorer 6.0 SP1</td>
<td>Apache Tomcat 5.5.9 or later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10GB buffer cache, auto sized SGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>auto storage management, 2 table spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OEM 9.2.0.2 installed and working</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Database Server
- Solaris 9.0 w/Aug05 patch cluster
- Oracle 9.2.0.2 Std Edition
  - 10GB buffer cache, auto sized SGA
  - auto storage management, 2 table spaces
- OEM 9.2.0.2 installed and working

## Operational View
- Omitted from slides for space reasons.
- Would include:
  - Operational CM approach
  - Monitoring and Control
  - Operational Needs
  - Installation / migration / backout strategies
Example: Apply a Perspective

- Performance and Scalability
  - Capture P & S Requirements
  - Create Performance Models
  - Analyse Models
  - Perform Practical Testing
  - Assess Against Requirements
  - Rework Architecture (apply tactics)
- What affect will this have on our system?
Example: Apply a Perspective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network A</td>
<td>100Mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB access (ro)</td>
<td>20ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB access (rw)</td>
<td>50ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single derived calc</td>
<td>1400ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client network</td>
<td>10Mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk load 100K</td>
<td>2500ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online load users</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory per user</td>
<td>1MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calibration measures

Performance model

Example: Apply a Perspective

- Security
  - Identify Sensitive Resources
  - Define Security Policy
  - Identify Threats to the System
  - Design Security Implementation (apply tactics)
  - Assess Security Risks
- What affect will this have on our system?
Example: Apply a Perspective

- Sensitive Resources
  - The data in the database

- Security Threats
  - Operators stealing backups
  - Administrators querying data, seeing names
  - Bribing investigating officers
  - Internal attack on the database via network
Example: Apply a Perspective

Security Countermeasures

- Backups: encrypt data in the database
  - How about performance?
  - Does this make availability (DR) harder?
- Seeing names: use codes instead of names, protect codes at higher security level
  - More development complexity
  - Possible performance impact

Example: Apply a Perspective

Security Countermeasures

- Network Attacks: firewalls, IDS
  - More cost
  - More deployment / administration complexity
  - Operational impact if IDS trips
- Bribery: add audit trail for data access
  - Possible performance impact
  - More complexity
  - Protecting / using the audit trail
Example: Apply a Perspective

Information View Impact

Example: Apply a Perspective

Development View Impact

Add audit when accessing data
Example: Apply a Perspective

■ Deployment View Impact

- Added network model making network security clear

Example: Apply a Perspective

■ Other Impact

- Need IDS added to Development view
- Need to capture impact on Operational view
- Need to consider impact on availability
- Need to re-work performance models to allow for database encryption, audit, ...

- Note the need to change many views to address security needs
Use Viewpoints & Perspectives

- As a framework for organising work
- As a store of knowledge
  - Document proven practice
  - Help standardise language and approach
  - Help to standardise languages and approaches
- At different career stages
  - To mentor novice architects
  - To guide and focus experienced architects
  - To support expert architects

Use Viewpoints & Perspectives

- For Novice Architects
  - An introduction to each area of knowledge
  - A guide to what is important
  - A structure for the process
  - Definitions of standards and norms
  - Repository of proven practice and tactics
  - Pitfalls and solutions to avoid common errors
  - Checklist to ensure nothing is forgotten
Use Viewpoints & Perspectives

For Working Architects
- A reminder of what is important
- A guide to new or rarely used areas of practice
- Repository of proven practice and tactics
- Pitfalls and solutions to avoid common errors
- Checklist to ensure nothing is forgotten

Use Viewpoints & Perspectives

For Expert Architects
- A framework to allow knowledge sharing
- An aid to tutoring and mentoring
- Checklists to ensure nothing is forgotten
Summary

- **Architecture Essential Difficulties**
  - Multi-dimensional problem, no right answer
  - Stakeholder needs conflict
  - Complex mix of people and technology
  - Tradeoffs are inevitable

- **Architecture Accidental Difficulties**
  - Lack of standardisation (approach, artefacts)
  - Little sharing of knowledge and experience

Summary (ii)

- **Viewpoints and Views**
  - Views provide a convenient approach for effective architectural description
  - Viewpoints standardise views by defining their content
  - Viewpoints contain proven architectural knowledge for a particular domain
  - Viewpoints and views can address many accidental difficulties of software architecture
Summary (iii)

- Viewpoints for Information Systems
  - Functional
  - Information
  - Concurrency
  - Development
  - Deployment
  - Operational

Summary (iv)

- Perspectives
  - Viewpoints handle structure well, less convinced about quality properties
  - Perspectives provide similar guidance and knowledge sharing for quality properties
  - Perspectives suggest the design activities required to achieve a property
  - Perspectives provide proven practice, pitfalls, solutions and checklists to share experience
  - Applying perspectives modifies views
Summary (v)

- Perspectives for Information Systems
  - Availability and Resilience
  - Evolution
  - Performance and Scalability
  - Security
  - Others
    - Accessibility, Development Resource, Geographical Location, I18N, Regulation, Usability

Summary (iv)

- Using Viewpoints and Perspectives
  - Novices
    - Overview, guides, focuses attention
    - Proven practice, pitfalls, solutions and checklists
  - Working Architects
    - Reminder of existing knowledge
    - Aid in new areas
  - Experts
    - Mentoring and communication vehicle
    - Reminders of hard won lessons
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